

# Sexual Harassment Complaints: Title IX Decision Maker Training

September 19, 2022  
8:30 – 11:30 am

*Presented by:*  
*Lawton Jackson, Esq. &*  
*Jenn Corry, M.Ed., Emergency Management Consultant*

# Scope of Title IX for Schools

- Prohibits discrimination and harassment based on sex in education programs and activities at schools that receive federal funding.

*No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.*

# Scope of Title IX for Schools

- If any part of a K-12 school or college receives federal funding for any purpose, then all operations of the school or college are covered by Title IX
- Protects students, employees, applicants for employment, students who are applying to the school and any other person who may participate in the School's activities and/or programs
- Includes sexual harassment prohibitions for employees and students as well

# Title IX: What It Is & What It Isn't

Title IX is not an anti-sexual harassment statute; Title IX prohibits sex discrimination in education programs or activities. The Supreme Court has held that sexual harassment may constitute sex discrimination under Title IX, but only when the sexual harassment is so ***severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive*** that it effectively denies a person's equal access to education.

Title IX does not represent a “zero tolerance” policy banning sexual harassment as such, but does exist to provide effective protections to individuals against discriminatory practices, within the parameters set forth under the Title IX statute (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.) and Supreme Court case law.

# Title IX: What It Is & What It Isn't

For the same reasons that Title IX does not stand as a zero-tolerance ban on all sexual harassment, Title IX does not stand as a Federal civil rights law to prevent all conduct that “makes me feel uncomfortable.”

The Supreme Court noted in *Davis v. Monroe County Board of Ed.* that school children regularly engage in “insults, banter, teasing, shoving, pushing, and gender-specific conduct that is upsetting to the students subjected to it” yet a school is liable under Title IX for responding to such behavior only when the conduct is “so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it denies its victims the equal access to education that Title IX is designed to protect.’

In order to investigate and make decisions about sexual harassment, we must

# **UNDERSTAND REGULATION DEFINITION OF SEXUAL HARASSMENT**

# Sexual Harassment

The regulations use a definition of sexual harassment that is designed to protect 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment rights of students and teachers by:

- Distinction between physical conduct and speech
- Speech is largely protected unless it rises to high standard-no prior restraint on speech
- Physical conduct is *per se* actionable

# Definition of Sexual Harassment

Conduct on the basis of sex that is one or more of the following:

1. A school employee conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the school on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct;
2. Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would find to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it **“effectively denies a person equal access”** to the school's education program or activity;
3. Sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking.

# Definition of Sexual Harassment: Element 1

Element 1 is *quid pro quo* harassment

- Does not require a severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive analysis
- By its very terms, it will deny access to the program

Exs: a teacher requires sexual favors for a better grade; a staff member demands sexual favors or s/he will post compromising pictures

# Definition of Sexual Harassment: Element 2

Harassment based on speech

This prong requires the unwelcome sexual conduct to be:

- Severe AND
- Pervasive AND
- Objectively offensive

Such that the victim is denied **equal access** to the school's programs and activities.

Narrowly tailored to protect 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment rights

# Definition of Sexual Harassment: Element 3

Offenses based on violence (sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking):

- Does not require severe and pervasive analysis
- When it occurs, equal access is denied

Like Element 1, it is *per se* actionable

# Element 3 Definitions

- Sexual assault: an offense classified as a forcible or nonforcible sex offense under the uniform crime reporting system of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- Stalking: means engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to:
  - 1) fear for his or her safety or the safety of others; or
  - 2) suffer substantial emotional distress

# Dating Violence

Violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim and where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors:

- 1) the length of the relationship;
- 2) the type of relationship;
- 3) the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship

# Domestic Violence

Violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim, a person whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of Arizona, or by any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction

# TITLE IX FRAMEWORK REMINDERS

# School Liability

A school will violate Title IX where it has ***actual knowledge*** of an allegation of sexual harassment experienced by an ***individual in the educational program*** and the school acts with ***deliberate indifference*** to that notice.

# What is Deliberate Indifference?

**A response to notice of sexual harassment that is clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances**

The new regulations set out the required response to notice within the context of:

- Protecting 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment rights
- A presumption of non-responsibility of the accused
- Provision of supportive measures

# Avoid Deliberate Indifference

- Treat complainants and respondents equitably
- Offer supportive measures in all cases
- Investigate every instance of formal complaint
- Use procedures set out in the regulations
- Provide complainant remedies if respondent is found to have responsibility

# Conflict of Interest

- Investigator/Title IX Coordinator/Decision-maker must not have a conflict of interest
  - Against the specific complainant or respondent or
  - Against complainants or respondents in general

If you have a conflict of interest, notify the Title IX Coordinator immediately



# **BASIC PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS OF INVESTIGATION**

# Formal Complaint Triggers the Investigation

Formal complaint triggers responsibility to conduct an investigation that complies with the District's grievance procedure.

Upon receipt of a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator will provide a written notice to parties explaining basic rights during process and will assign an investigator.

# Procedural Requirements

- Respondent is presumed not responsible for conduct and a determination will not be made until the conclusion of the grievance process
- Parties may have an advisor of their choice
  - Advisor may be an attorney, but doesn't have to be
- Both parties must have equal opportunity to present witnesses and evidence

# Procedural Requirements

- Parties must be given, in writing, details about what conduct is alleged to constitute sexual harassment, including the date and location of the alleged incident(s) (TIX Coordinator will do this)
- If there is a prohibition against making false statements in the code of conduct, a party can be disciplined for doing so during this investigation

# Procedural Requirements

- Parties will have the opportunity to inspect and review evidence collected during the investigation
  - Sign a non-disclosure agreement
- Do not restrict either party's ability to discuss the allegations or gather and present evidence

# Expanding the Investigation

If, during the course of the investigation, you decide to investigate additional allegations that were not included in initial notice, discuss with the Title IX Coordinator, who will then provide written notice of the additional allegations to the parties.

# Written Notice Requirements

In sufficient time to prepare a response, parties must be given written notice of the following:

- All of the procedural requirements above and the specifics of the allegations (Title IX Coordinator does this)
- Any investigative activity that will require a party's presence
- Invite parties to provide relevant evidence

# Investigator Reminders

- **Be impartial and avoid bias**
- Presume that the respondent is not responsible for the alleged conduct
- Do not make assumptions about who can experience sexual harassment
- Follow the District's grievance procedure and maintain list of procedural steps that have been accomplished

# Timelines

Meet the timeline set out in the District's policy-there must be a reasonably prompt investigation (specific number of days for completion of the process must be established)

- If there is a temporary delay for good cause, provide written notice to both parties explaining the reason for the delay
- School administrative needs DO NOT create good cause for a temporary delay

# Good Cause Delay

Non-exhaustive list of reasons that may create a good cause delay in completion:

- Concurrent law enforcement activity
- Witness availability
- A pandemic-related reason (illness, unavailability)
- School breaks
- Absence of a party
- Availability of a party's advisor
- Need for language assistance or ADA accommodations

# CONDUCTING THE INVESTIGATION

# Plan, Plan, Plan

- Map out a plan for your investigation
- Understand the allegations
- Understand definition of sexual harassment so that you know what elements must be established
- Who will you interview? What documents must you see? Do you need to ask IT to run a server search? Do you need to get recordings from surveillance cameras?

# Obtain Necessary Evidence

Do not require or rely on the parties to anticipate and provide you the evidence you need

- You may not require a party to provide evidence (documentary or verbal) that would be legally protected under attorney-client privilege, doctor-patient privilege, spousal privilege.

# Medical Evidence

- Can not require party to provide medical evidence
- If they do want to provide it, must obtain written, voluntary consent (of parent or adult student) allowing district to use that evidence in grievance process
  - Even if we already have the evidence, we can't use it in investigation without that consent

# Investigating the Complaint

- Interview both parties (required)
- Interview any witnesses identified by the parties and anyone else you identify as potentially having information
- Request documents from district, parties, and witnesses

# Interview Phase

- Recommendation is to start by interviewing complainant and then the respondent
- Provide written notice of the date, time, location, participants, and purpose of any investigation activity that requires a **party's presence** and provide notice with enough time for the party to prepare to participate in the activity

# Interview Phase

- You've identified the allegations and understand what information will be needed to make a determination, so use that to guide interviews
- Plan your interview outlines
  - To extent reasonable, ask both parties the same questions
  - Ask witnesses the same or similar questions

# Conducting Interviews

- If you allow others to be present during an interview (such as an advisor), allow both parties the same opportunity.
  - You may restrict the extent to which the advisor can participate, so long as restrictions apply to both parties

# Conducting Interviews

- Explain purpose of interview:
  - Important part of the investigation into the allegation of sexual harassment that the District received
- Provide reassurances:
  - No retaliation for participating
  - Will maintain confidentiality except as between the parties and the District personnel who are required as part of the Title IX process

# Conducting Interviews

## Explain expectations

- No recording (you can decide whether you will allow or not)
- Tell the truth
- What the rules are for advisors
- You don't have to provide evidence protected by privilege
- You may not threaten, intimidate, or harass anyone who participates in this process

# Conducting Interviews

- If interviewing virtually, ask witness to confirm who is present with them
- Ask open ended questions
  - Use the answers to ask more pointed questions to drill down into the detail you need
- Document the responses in a reliable and consistent fashion

# Conducting Interviews

At the end:

- Ask both parties and any witnesses to provide any documents they think are relevant
- Ask both parties and any witnesses who else they think you should talk to and then interview the witnesses identified by the **parties** and consider whether anyone identified by witnesses should be interviewed

# Providing Parties with the Evidence

- Get a signed non-disclosure agreement
- Provide both parties an equal opportunity to inspect and review evidence gathered as part of the investigation that is *directly related* to the allegations raised in the formal complaint
  - Including evidence on which you do not intent to rely on it in drafting an investigation report
- Send the evidence to the parties in an electronic or hard copy format and allowing the parties 10 days to submit a written response

# Redacting Evidence

- You must redact information protected by privilege unless we have written consent
- You may redact information in the evidence that is not directly related to the allegations
- You may not redact confidential information that is directly related and relevant

# Completing the Process

- Consider the written responses to evidence provided by the parties
- The District can decide whether parties must provide all evidence PRIOR to the investigator sending out the evidence for review OR whether it will allow parties to provide additional evidence after reviewing the existing evidence.

# Investigation Report

- Draft a written investigation report that summarizes the relevant evidence
- Can include observations and even recommendations
- Provide the report to the parties AND their advisors, if any, at the same time for review and opportunity to provide another written response prior to the decision maker rendering a determination.

Decision-maker Responsibility

# **DETERMINATIONS**

# Basic Premises: Decision Makers

- Objectively evaluate all available evidence, both inculpatory and exculpatory
- Weigh the evidence using preponderance of the evidence (or clear and convincing) burden of proof standard adopted by the District
  - The evidence must show that it is *more likely than not* (or *highly probable*) that the respondent is responsible for the alleged conduct

# Written Questions

The District will not hold in-person hearings but after the investigation report is completed, and before a determination is made, the parties must have the opportunity to review the investigation report and submit written, relevant questions to the decision maker that the party wants asked of the other party or witnesses

# Written Questions

- Each party may submit relevant questions to decision maker
- If a question is excluded by the decision maker, the decision maker must explain why the question is not relevant
- Each party will be provided answers to its questions and then will have a limited opportunity to ask limited follow up questions

# Relevance of Questions

Complainant's sexual history or predisposition is NOT RELEVANT unless:

- offered to establish that someone else committed the acts complained of
- Offered to establish consent with the specific respondent

# Issuing a Determination

Using a preponderance of the evidence standard to review the evidence, issue a written determination that includes the following six components:

1. Identification of the sexual harassment allegations
2. A description of the grievance procedure steps taken
3. Findings and evidence that support the determination
4. Conclusions regarding the application of the recipient's code of conduct to the facts

# Issuing a Determination (Cont.)

5. A statement of and rationale for the results for each allegation, including:
  - determining responsibility: if the respondent's alleged actions broke school policy
  - for the respondent: any disciplinary sanctions that the school imposes
  - for the complainant: any remedies (provided by the school) designed to restore or preserve equal access to the school's education program or activity
  
6. Permissible grounds for appeal, as well the school's appeal procedures

# Determining Sanctions

- Considerations:
  - Founded=Consequence(s)
  - Context
  - Age
  - Disability (special education/504)
  - Prior history
  - Severity
  - Resources district has
  - Resources district doesn't have

# Sanction Examples

- Change bus
- No classes or lunch together
- Restrict extra-curricular participation
- No Contact Agreement
- Behavior contract (e.g. required supervision; no discipline referrals)
- Counseling
- Threat assessment
- Community service
- Short-term suspension
- School reassignment (another, alternative, online)
- Referred for long-term suspension
- Referred for expulsion

# Remedies

- If the determination is that the respondent is responsible for the conduct, then determine remedies that will restore or preserve equal access to the education program or activity
  - Same types of things as supportive measures except that they can be punitive toward respondent

# Scenario # 1 (Teacher)

- You are a math teacher

**Is this Title IX?**

- Your class is during third period

**Is this an actual knowledge report?**

- Student A reports to you that during first period, Student B told her their ELA teacher touched her back while checking her work

- Student A also reported that Student B said the ELA teacher often comments on her appearance

**What do you do next?**

- Student A reported that two weeks earlier she observed the ELA teacher at her desk with her phone aimed at Student B, and saw her flash in a way that made her think she was recording Student B

# Scenario #1 (Coordinator)

You are the Title IX Coordinator. The Principal calls you and states the Math teacher reported that Student A reported some concerning information Student B told her about the ELA teacher and inappropriate touching ,but that's all she knows at this point. The Principal also tells you Math teacher reported that the ELA teacher is “weird,” and often posts “sexy selfies” on her private Instagram account, some of which appear to have been taken in her classroom. The Math teacher has requested that the Principal investigate the ELA teacher. The Principal states the Math teacher has Student B in her next period and has invited the Principal to pull the Student to ask her some questions.

**Is there a Title IX issue here?**

**What are your “Next Steps?”**

**What do you advise the Principal to do?**

# Scenario # 1 (Investigator)

- You have been engaged by the Title IX coordinator to investigate a formal complaint of sexual harassment where the Respondent is a teacher and the Complainant is a student. The Respondent is at home on paid leave pending the investigation. Due to the nature of the allegation, the Principal filed a mandatory report with the police, who have also opened an investigation. When you call the Complainant to schedule the initial interview for Tuesday September 27, 2022 @ 3:00 PM, the family tells you that time/day works for them, because the student isn't meeting with the police office regarding the criminal investigation until Thursday, September 29, 2022.

# Scenario # 1 (Investigator)

- When you call the Respondent, he does not answer. That same day you receive a response from Respondent's lawyer, stating she plans to attend Respondent's interview as his advocate, and will be recording the interview.

# Scenario # 1 (Decision Maker)

You have received the investigation report regarding the allegation that Respondent (teacher) sexually harassed Complainant (student). In it, you learn that when the Respondent allegedly touched the Complainant, there was an assistant teacher present, and the investigator interviewed that witness. The notes from that interview are provided as follows:

# Scenario # 1 (Decision Maker)

Individual Interviewed: Staff A, Staff Witness

**Any problems here?**

Date of Interview: September 30, 2022

Time and Total Length of Interview: Start at 9:25a, ended at 9:30am

**Length of interview**

Question 1: Can you recall Respondent touching Complainant on the back or shoulder while reviewing her classwork on September 2, 2022, during first period ELA?

**Began with Closed Question**

Response: I don't recall seeing him touch her back. I do recall he stood over her desk and spent more time with her than any other students, but his back was to me.

UDA **Let's see if your investigator followed up with additional questions...**

# Scenario # 1 (Decision Maker)

Question 2: Did Student B say anything to you on September 2, 2022, that indicated she felt uncomfortable or that the ELA teacher had touched her in an inappropriate manner?

**What's the problem?**

Response: She did not talk to me that day. I had a follow up conversation with her but not about the incident on **Only 2 questions** September 2, 2022. She does come through the office and sees Staff B occasionally. (Note: Staff B was not interviewed)

**Witness clearly had more information to share**

Interviewer Observation: Staff A was confident and calm when discussing this issue.

**Another potential witness was named, but not interviewed**

# Scenario #1 (Decision Maker)

- How do you make your decision without this information?
- Can you make a decision without this information without it rising to the level of “deliberate indifference?”
- Issue findings or request additional information

# Scenario #2 (Teacher)

- You witness Student A smack Student B on the buttocks during recess.
- You send Student A to the principal's office and call over Student B to talk to him. **Is this Title IX?**
- Student B is embarrassed and doesn't want to talk about it.
- The principal calls home to tell both Students' parents about the incident.

# Scenario #2 (Principal)

- The following morning, you get a call from Student B's parent who tells you her child reported to her that Student A hit him on the buttocks several times before the incident observed by the teacher and that Student A also stated on several occasions, "I am going to rape you."

**Now is it Title IX?**

# Scenario #2 (Principal)

- Student B is still attending class, and getting good grades, but his mother indicates he has been wetting the bed at home for the past two weeks.

**How about now?**

# Scenario #2 (Coordinator)

- Your elementary school Principal reports to you an incident involving two kindergarten students. One student has been hitting another student on the buttocks and has told him on several occasions, “I am going to rape you.”
- What are your options as the Title IX Coordinator?

# Scenario #2 (Decision Maker)

- You receive an investigator report on a Title IX matter where the kindergarten Respondent has been smacking the buttocks of the Complainant. The Complainant is a young boy who has been doing well in school and wasn't scared to come to school, but his mother stated at the end of his interview that he has been wetting the bed since this conduct began. In the Respondent's interview, the investigator noted that the Respondent thought the word "rape" meant "to fight," and when the investigator followed up with the Complainant, he also reported not knowing what the word meant, but stated, "I knew it was mean, and I thought it might hurt."

**What's your finding?**

**Is the Respondent's understanding of the word "rape" relevant? Why?**

**Is the Complainant's understanding of the word relevant? Why?**

# Questions?



# UDALL | SHUMWAY

COUNSELORS AT LAW SINCE 1965

---

UDALL SHUMWAY PLC  
1138 North Alma School Road, Suite 101  
Mesa, Arizona 85201  
llj@udallshumway.com | 480-461-5331  
[www.udallshumway.com](http://www.udallshumway.com)